



Docket No.: 0010-1075-0 PCT

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313



ATTORNEYS AT LAW

*Tan*

RE: Application Serial No.: 09/462,472  
Applicants: Hiroshi MATSUI, et al.  
Filing Date: January 14, 2000  
For: METHOD FOR PRODUCING PURINE  
NUCLEOSIDE BY FERMENTATION  
Group Art Unit: 1652  
Examiner: Fronda, C.L.

SIR:

Attached hereto for filing are the following papers:

**Letter to PTO**

**Interview Summary**

Our check in the amount of \$0.00 is attached covering any required fees. In the event any variance exists between the amount enclosed and the Patent Office charges for filing the above-noted documents, including any fees required under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for any necessary Extension of Time to make the filing of the attached documents timely, please charge or credit the difference to our Deposit Account No. 15-0030. Further, if these papers are not considered timely filed, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for the necessary extension of time. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,  
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.  
Norman F. Oblon

Stephen G. Baxter  
Registration No. 32,884

Customer Number

**22850**

(703) 413-3000 (phone)  
(703) 413-2220 (fax)

Vincent K. Shier, Ph.D.  
Registration No. 50,552



Docket No.: 0010-1075-0 PCT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF:

:

Hiroshi MATSUI, et al.

: EXAMINER: FRONDA

SERIAL NO.: 09/462,472

:

FILED: JANUARY 14, 2000

: GROUP ART UNIT: 1652

FOR: METHOD FOR PRODUCING PURINE NUCLEOSIDE BY FERMENTATION

LETTER TO PTO

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450

SIR:

Applicants wish to thank Examiner Fronda for the courteous discussion with their undersigned Representative on August 6, 2004. Applicants also wish to thank the Examiner for the indication that the finality of the Office Action issued October 21, 2003 has been withdrawn and that no response is required. To evidence the fact that no response is required, Applicants wish to make of record the enclosed Interview Summary received by their undersigned Representative on August 9, 2004.

Applicants now await a further action from the Office.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,  
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Stephen G. Baxter, Ph.D.  
Attorney of Record  
Registration No. 32,884

Customer Number

**22850**

(703) 413-3000  
Fax #: (703) 413-2220

Vincent K. Shier, Ph.D.  
Registration No. 50,552

AUG 09 2004

**Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary**

Application No.

09/462,472

Applicant(s)

MATSUI ET AL.

Examiner

Christian L Fronda

Art Unit

1652

**All Participants:**(1) Christian L Fronda *CLF*(2) Vincent Shire**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 6 August 2004**Time:** 2PM**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.****Rejection(s) discussed:**

NONE

**Claims discussed:**

1-22, 25, and 27

**Prior art documents discussed:**

NONE

**Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

*Christian L Fronda*

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature - if appropriate)

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-413B)

Application No. 09/462,472

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The finality of the Office Action dated 10/21/2003 has been withdrawn. No response from applicants is required.

The Examiner intends to issue a new non-final office action after a decision has been made on Applicant's petition filed 3/22/2004.